Case 3:07-cv-05918-JSW Document 56 Filed 01/31/2008

Page 1 of 22

ERT, MORAN & ARNOLDU

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MCKESSON CORPORATION'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

Defendant McKESSON CORPORATION ("McKesson") answers Plaintiffs' Complaint as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 1.
- 2. Paragraph 2 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 and therefore denies the same.
- 3. Paragraph 3 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 and therefore denies the same.
- 4. Paragraph 4 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 and therefore denies the same.
- 5. Paragraph 5 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 and therefore denies the same.
- 6. Paragraph 6 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 and therefore denies the same.
- 7. Paragraph 7 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph

4

5 6

7

8 9

11 12

13

10

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

7 and therefore denies the same.

- 8. Paragraph 8 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 and therefore denies the same.
- Paragraph 9 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 and therefore denies the same.
- 10. Paragraph 10 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 and therefore denies the same.
- 11. Paragraph 11 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 and therefore denies the same.
- 12. Paragraph 12 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 and therefore denies the same.
- 13. Paragraph 13 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 and therefore denies the same.
- 14. Paragraph 14 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Paragraph 14 and therefore denies the same.

- Paragraph 15 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; 15. to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 and therefore denies the same.
- 16. Paragraph 16 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 and therefore denies the same.
- 17. Paragraph 17 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 and therefore denies the same.
- 18. McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 and therefore denies the same.
- 19. Paragraph 19 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 and therefore denies the same.
- 20. McKesson admits that it is a distributor of pharmaceutical and medical supply products, including OmniscanTM. Except as specifically admitted, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 and therefore denies the same.
- 21. McKesson admits that it is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at One Post Street, San Francisco, California, 94104.
- 22. McKesson admits that it conducts business in the State of California and in the City and County of San Francisco. Except as admitted, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 22

and therefore denies the same.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- McKesson admits that it conducts business in the State of California and in San 23. Francisco County and that it is a distributor of pharmaceutical and medical supply products, including OmniscanTM. Except as admitted, McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 23.
- 24. Paragraph 24 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 and therefore denies the same.
- 25. Paragraph 25 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 and therefore denies the same.
- 26. Paragraph 26 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 and therefore denies the same.
- Paragraph 27 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 and therefore denies the same.
- 28. Paragraph 28 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 and therefore denies the same.
- 29. Paragraph 29 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in

7

4

9

12

15

20

18

26

Paragraph 29 and therefore denies the same.

- McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to 30. the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 30 and therefore denies the same.
- 31. Paragraph 31 does not require a response. To the extent that a response is required, McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 31.
- 32. McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 and therefore denies the same.
- 33. Paragraph 33 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 33 and therefore denies the same.
- 34. Paragraph 34 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 34 and therefore denies the same.
- 35. McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 35 and therefore denies the same.
- 36. Paragraph 36 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response. In the alternative, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 and therefore denies the same.
- 37. Paragraph 37 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 37 and therefore denies the same.
- 38. Paragraph 38 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 38 and therefore denies the same.

1	39.	Paragra
2	to the extent	this allega
3	knowledge o	r informat
4	Paragraph 39	and there
5	40.	McKes
6	the truth of t	he allegati
7	41.	Paragra
8	required, Mo	Kesson de
9	42.	Paragra
10	required, Mo	Kesson de
11		
12	43.	McKes
13	44.	McKes
14	45.	McKes
15	46.	McKes
16	47.	McKes
17	48.	McKes
18	49.	McKes
19	the truth of t	he allegati
20	50.	McKes
21	51.	McKes
22	52.	McKes
23	53.	McKes
24	54.	McKes
25	55.	McKes
26	the truth of t	he allegat

	39.	Paragraph 39 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response;
to the	e extent t	his allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without
knov	vledge or	information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in
Para	graph 39	and therefore denies the same.

- 40. McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 40 and therefore denies the same.
- 41. Paragraph 41 does not require a response. To the extent that a response is required, McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 41.
- 42. Paragraph 42 does not require a response. To the extent that a response is required, McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 42.

FACTS

- 43. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 43.
- 44. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 44.
- 45. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 45.
- 46. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 46.
- 47. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 47.
- 48. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 48.
- 49. McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 49 and therefore denies the same.
 - 50. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 50.
 - 51. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 51.
 - 52. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 52.
 - 53. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 53.
 - 54. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 54.
- 55. McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 55 and therefore denies the same.
- 56. McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 56 and therefore denies the same.

1 Paragraphs 1 through 71 as if set forth fully herein. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 73. 2 73. 74. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 74. 3 75. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 75. 4 5 76. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 76. 77. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 77. 6 78. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 78. 7 McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 79. 8 79. 9 THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Against Imaging Facility Defendants) 10 NEGLIGENCE 11 80. McKesson hereby incorporates its responses to the allegations contained in 12 Paragraphs 1 through 79 as if set forth fully herein. Paragraph 81 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; 13 81. 14 to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without 15 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 81 and therefore denies the same. 16 17 82. Paragraph 82 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without 18 19 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in 20 Paragraph 82 and therefore denies the same. 21 83. Paragraph 83 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; 22 to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without 23 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in 24 Paragraph 83 and therefore denies the same. 25 Paragraph 84 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; 84. to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without 26 27 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in

Paragraph 84 and therefore denies the same.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

26

27

- 85. Paragraph 85 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 85 and therefore denies the same.
- 86. Paragraph 86 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 86 and therefore denies the same.
- 87. Paragraph 87 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 87 and therefore denies the same.
- 88. Paragraph 88 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 88 and therefore denies the same.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against Imaging Facility Defendants) BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANT

- 89. McKesson hereby incorporates its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 88 as if set forth fully herein.
- 90. Paragraph 90 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response: to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 90 and therefore denies the same.
- 91. Paragraph 91 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 91 and therefore denies the same.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

92. Paragraph 92 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 92 and therefore denies the same.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against Imaging Facility Defendants) BREACH OF IMPLIES WARRANT

- McKesson hereby incorporates its responses to the allegations contained in 93. Paragraphs 1 through 92 as if set forth fully herein.
- 94. Paragraph 94 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response: to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 94 and therefore denies the same.
- 95. Paragraph 95 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 95 and therefore denies the same.
- 96. Paragraph 96 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 96 and therefore denies the same.
- 97. Paragraph 97 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 97 and therefore denies the same.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against Manufacturing Defendants)

FRAUD

98. McKesson hereby incorporates its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 97 as if set forth fully herein.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

- 99, Paragraph 99 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 99 and therefore denies the same.
- 100. Paragraph 100 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 100 and therefore denies the same.
- 101. Paragraph 101 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 101 and therefore denies the same.
- 102. Paragraph 102 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 102 and therefore denies the same.
- Paragraph 103 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; 103. to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 103 and therefore denies the same.
- 104. Paragraph 104 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 104 and therefore denies the same.
- Paragraph 105 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 105 and therefore denies the same.

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

2

106. Paragraph 106 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 106 and therefore denies the same.

107. Paragraph 107 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 107 and therefore denies the same.

Paragraph 108 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required.

Paragraph 108 is also directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 108 and therefore denies the same.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against Manufacturing Defendants)
FRAUD: CONCEALMENT, SUPPRESSION OR
OMISSION OF MATERIAL FACTS

- 109. McKesson hereby incorporates its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 108 as if set forth fully herein.
- 110. Paragraph 110 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 110 and therefore denies the same.
- 111. Paragraph 111 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 111 and therefore denies the same.
- 112. Paragraph 112 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without

enowledge or informa	ation sufficient t	o form a	belief as t	o the truth	of the	allegations	contained	. ir
Paragraph 112 and the	erefore denies th	e same.						

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against Manufacturing Defendants)
NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

- 113. McKesson hereby incorporates its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 112 as if set forth fully herein.
- 114. Paragraph 114 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 114 and therefore denies the same.
- 115. Paragraph 115 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 115 and therefore denies the same.
- 116. Paragraph 116 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 116 and therefore denies the same.
- 117. Paragraph 117 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 117 and therefore denies the same.
- 118. Paragraph 118 is directed towards other defendants and thus requires no response; to the extent this allegation requires a response from McKesson, McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 118 and therefore denies the same.

1///

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTIO

(Against All Defendants) NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

- 119. McKesson hereby incorporates its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 118 as if set forth fully herein.
- 120. Paragraph 120 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 120.
- 121. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 121, including subparts a through d.
 - 122. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 122.
 - 123. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 123.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against All Defendants) LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

- 124. McKesson hereby incorporates its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 123 as if set forth fully herein.
- 125. McKesson is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 125 and therefore denies the same.
 - 126. McKesson denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 126.

GENERAL DENIAL

- 127. McKesson denies each and every statement, allegation, and averment contained in the Complaint that has not been expressly admitted herein.
- 128. Discovery and investigation may reveal that one or more of the following additional defenses are available to McKesson in this matter. McKesson accordingly asserts the following defenses. Upon completion of discovery, if the facts warrant, McKesson may withdraw any of these defenses as may be appropriate. McKesson further reserves the right to amend this Answer to assert additional defenses and other claims as discovery proceeds.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1111

////

1
1

Further answering, and by way of additional defense, McKesson states as follows:

2

DEFENSES

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

129.	Plaintiffs'	Complaint fails	s to state a claim	upon which relief	can be granted
			, ,, ,,,,, ,,,,,,,,,		

- 130. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by the applicable statutes of limitations, statutes of repose, and/or by the doctrines of laches, estoppel, waiver, unclean hands, or ratification.
- 131. Adequate warnings were provided to Paul J. Gerber's physicians and other medical providers; therefore, any claims by Plaintiffs for inadequate warnings are barred by the doctrines of learned intermediary and/or sophisticated user.
- 132. Plaintiffs' claims based on inadequate warning are barred even if adequate warnings with respect to known or potential dangers or risks associated with the use of OmniscanTM, were not provided, which is denied, because physicians and other medical providers prescribing OmniscanTM either knew or should have known of the potential or known dangers or risks, and there is no duty to warn members of a profession against dangers known or which should be known to members of the profession.
- 133. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part because the products, methods, standards, and/or techniques used in manufacturing, designing, marketing, and/or labeling of the products at issue complied with and/or were in conformity with the state of the art at the time they were manufactured, designed, marketed, and/or labeled.
- Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part because the manufacture, labeling and sale of Omniscan™ was and is controlled by federal law, and McKesson at all relevant times complied with applicable statutes and with the requirements of the FDA.
- 135. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part to the extent Plaintiffs have released, settled, entered into an accord and satisfaction, or otherwise compromised their claims.
- 136. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by Paul J. Gerber's assumption of the risk associated with the purchase and/or use of the product, and is imputed to plaintiff Miriam Goldberg.

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	I
1	5	I
1	6	I
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	I
2	1	I
2	2	I
2	3	I
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	

- 137. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by product misuse by Plaintiff Paul Jay Gerber or his physician including, among other things, their failure to follow warnings and/or failure to follow instructions.
 - Plaintiffs' claims under state law are barred by the doctrine of federal preemption.
- Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by the deference given to the 139. primary jurisdiction of the FDA over the subject pharmaceutical product at issue under applicable federal laws, rules, and regulations.
- 140. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part under the doctrine described in the Restatement (Second) of Torts § 402A cmt. k.
- 141. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part because the pharmaceutical product at issue provides net benefits for a class of patients within the meaning of Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability § 6 cmt. f.
- To the extent Plaintiffs' claims related to McKesson's advertising, marketing, public statements, lobbying or other activities protected by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and the California Constitution, such claims are barred.
- 143. Plaintiffs failed to notify McKesson of any alleged breach of warranty within a reasonable time after she discovered or should have discovered any such alleged breach and are therefore barred from recovery on such claims.
 - 144. Any warranties made by McKesson to Plaintiffs were disclaimed.
- 145. Any claim for breach of express warranty must fail because Plaintiff failed to allege any representation about the product at issue giving rise to an express warranty.
- 146. Any claim for breach of implied warranty fails because the product at issue was used for its ordinary purpose.
- Plaintiffs' breach of warranty claims are barred because there is a lack of privity 147. between Plaintiffs and McKesson.
- 148. McKesson specifically pleads all defenses under the Uniform Commercial Code now existing or which may arise in the future.
 - 149. Plaintiffs' claims for breach of warranty, expressed or implied, are barred by the

5

6

7

4

8

11 12

10

14 15

13

17 18

16

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

SEDGWICK 28

applicable provisions of the California Commercial Code.

- 150. Plaintiffs have failed to join all indispensable parties; as a result of this failure, complete relief cannot be accorded to those already parties to this action and will result in prejudice to McKesson
- 151. Plaintiffs' claims and recovery are barred, reduced and/or limited pursuant to applicable constitutional, statutory, and common law regarding limitations of awards and recovery.
- 152. Plaintiffs' claims are barred or reduced by the contributory and/or comparative negligence, and/or contributory and/or comparative fault.
- 153. Plaintiffs' damages, if any, were caused solely or partially by some third person or third party for whom McKesson is not legally responsible.
- 154. Plaintiffs' damages, if any, resulted from new and independent, unforeseeable, superseding, or intervening causes unrelated to the conduct of McKesson, or the products at issue.
- 155. If McKesson provided any product alleged to have been defective, as alleged in the Complaint, McKesson supplied and/or distributed such product by and through other intermediaries, including plaintiffs' and/or other named and unnamed defendants, and did not package, repackage, or label the product in any way, and provided all warnings regarding the product to such intermediaries as they were received from the product manufacturer and/or other up stream suppliers without any additions, deletions, or alterations of any kind to the warnings.
- 156. Plaintiffs' damages, if any, were the result of pre-existing conditions unrelated to any conduct of McKesson or the products at issue.
- 157. Plaintiffs' damages, if any, were caused by changes and/or alterations to the McKesson's products, made by persons not within McKesson's control.
- 158. McKesson's liability, if any, for non-economic loss is limited to its equitable share, determined in accordance with the relative culpability of all persons or entities contributing to Plaintiffs' total non-economic loss, if any, including those over whom Plaintiffs could have obtained personal jurisdiction with due diligence.

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	ĺ
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	

159.	McKesson alleges that the provisions of California Civil Code § 1431.2 are
applicable to t	he Complaint and each cause of action therein.

- 160. Plaintiffs' non-economic loss must be allocated in accordance with the provisions of Cal. Civil Code § 1431.2 ("Proposition 51").
- 161. Plaintiffs' damages, if any, must be reduced by any amount of damages legally caused by Plaintiffs' own failure to mitigate such damages.
- Plaintiffs' damages, if any, are not recoverable because they are legally too remote, indirect, and speculative.
- 163. Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted for punitive or exemplary damages.
- McKesson denies any conduct for which punitive or exemplary damages could or should be awarded and deny that Plaintiffs have produced evidence sufficient to support or sustain the imposition of punitive damages pursuant to the applicable standard(s) of proof.
- 165. Plaintiffs' claims seeking punitive damages violate the substantive and procedural aspects of the Due Process Clause of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, the Equal Protection Clause, the Excessive Fines Clause, and the cognate provisions of the California Constitution.
- 166. Any award of punitive or exemplary damages is barred to the extent that it is inconsistent with the standards and limitations set for in BMW of North American, Inc. v. Gore, 517 U.S. 559, 134 L.Ed. 2d 809, 116 S.Ct. 1589 (1996); State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. v. Campbell, 538 U.S. 408 (2003), and Phillip Morris USA v. Williams, 127 S.Ct. 1057 (2007).
- 167. No act or alleged omission of McKesson was vile, base, willful, malicious, wanton, oppressive or fraudulent, or done with a conscious disregard for the health, safety and right of Plaintiffs and other, or with actual malice, fraud or oppression as defined in Cal. Civil Code § 3294, and Plaintiffs' complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted for punitive or exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' Complaint seeks damages in excess of those permitted by law. McKesson asserts any statutory or judicial protection from punitive or

exemplary damages is barred.

168. Any verdict or judgment that might be recovered by Plaintiffs must be reduced by those amounts that have indemnified, or will in the future indemnify, Plaintiffs in whole or in part for any past or future claimed economic loss from any collateral source such as insurance, social security, workers' compensation, or employee benefit programs.

exemplary damages that is available under the applicable law, and any award of punitive or

- 169. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the applicable statute of limitation, including but not limited to Cal. Code of Civil Procedure former § 340, subd. 3, or in the alternative, Cal. Code of Civil Procedure §§ 335.1, 340.5, 340.8 and/or 343.
- 170. McKesson is not liable to Plaintiffs because it never manufactured, sold, or administered any gadolinium-based contrast agent to the Plaintiffs.
- 171. McKesson owed no duty to Plaintiffs, and in any event, violated no duty that it may have owed to Plaintiffs.
- 172. Any and all injuries suffered by Plaintiffs, in fact of which is expressly denied by McKesson, were in direct and proximate result of sensitivities, medical conditions, reactions and/or idiosyncrasies peculiar to Plaintiff Paul Jay Gerber that were unknown, unknowable, or not reasonably foreseeable to McKesson, and not as a direct and proximate result of wrongful convictions on the part of the McKesson, the fact of which is expressly denied by McKesson.
- 173. No act or omission of McKesson was a substantial factor in bringing about the alleged injuries of Plaintiffs, nor was any such act or omission a contributing cause thereof, and any alleged acts or omissions of McKesson were superseded by the acts or omissions of others, including Plaintiffs, which were the independent, intervening and proximate cause of any injury, damage or loss sustained by Plaintiffs.
- 174. Plaintiffs' cause of action for alleged violation of the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal Civil Code § 1750, et seq., is barred because Plaintiffs failed to give proper notice as mandated by Cal. Civil Code § 1782(a)(1). Since strict compliance with the notice provisions of the CLRA is required, Plaintiffs' CLRA cause of action must be dismissed with prejudice.

1	175. Plaintiffs' cause of action for alleged violation of the California Consumer Legal
2	Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal Civil Code § 1750, et seq., is barred because Plaintiffs failed to
3	comply with the affidavit requirement of Cal. Civil Code § 1780(c). Since strict compliance with
4	the notice provisions of the CLRA is required, Plaintiffs' CLRA cause of action must be
5	dismissed with prejudice.
6	176. Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state a claim under the California Consumer Legal
7	Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal Civil Code § 1750, et seq., because the CLRA is inapplicable to a
8	pharmaceutical products liability action.
9	177. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part because Plaintiffs consented to the
10	alleged wrongful conduct.
11	178. McKesson adopts and incorporates by reference each and every other or additional
12	defense that is or may be applicable to McKesson that has been or may be pleaded by any other
13	defendants to this action not otherwise set forth herein.
14	
15	DATED: January 31, 2008 SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP
16	
17	By:/s/ Michael L. Fox
18	Charles T. Sheldon Michael L. Fox
19	and
20	Marsha M. Piccone (pro hac vice pending)
21	piccone@wtklaw.com John M. Fitzpatrick (pro hac vice pending)
22	fitzpatrick@wtklaw.com Galen D. Bellamy (SBN #231792)
23	bellamy@wtklaw.com Reneé A. Carmody (pro hac vice pending)
24	carmody@wtklaw.com WHEELER TRIGG KENNEDY LLP
25	1801 California Street, Suite 3600 Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephonos (202) 244 1800
26	Telephone: (303) 244-1800 Facsimile: (303) 244-1879
27 28	Attorneys for Defendant McKESSON CORPORATION

SEDGWICK DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD,

26